| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/656,406 | BAUER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Matthew A. Thexton | 1714 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) Matthew A. Thexton. | (3) | |
| (2) Anthony Bisulca. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 22 June 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: AB indicated the case has been abandoned in favor of the continuation filed | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| M. S. Thexton | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |